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## Sorting on GPUs

Revisiting some algorithms from lecture 6:
Some not-so-good sorting approaches
Bitonic sort
QuickSort
Concurrent kernels and recursion
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## Adapt to parallel algorithms

Many sorting algorithms are highly sequential
Suitable for parallel implementation?

- Data driven execution
- Data independent execution


# Information Coding / Computer Graphics, ISY, LiTH 

## Data driven execution

Computing pattern depends on data
Usually harder to parallellize!
Example: QuickSort.
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## Data independent execution

Known computing pattern
Easier to parallellize - always the same plan
Example: Bitonic sort
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## Bubble sort

Loop through data, compare neighbors

## Extremely sequential

Inefficient
Parallel version: Bubble sort with odd-even transposition method
Compare all items pairwise
Two phases, "odd phase" and "even phase" (shifted one step)
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## Bubble sort, parallel version

Bubble sort with odd-even transposition method
Compare all items pairwise
Two phases, "odd phase" and "even phase" (shifted one step)
Fully sorted after n phases


Even phase
Odd phase
$\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$
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## Suitable for GPU?

Not as bad as it seems at first look:

- Data independent
- Excellent locality
- Pretty good possibilities to use shared memory (but with some costly transfers at edges between blocks). Thus close to optimal in global memory transfers.
- But certainly not optimal at very large sizes
"Better" algorithms don't necessary beat this all that easily!
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## Rank sort

Count number of items that are smaller

## Easy to parallelize:

- One thread per item
- Loop through entire data
- Store in index decided from count of number of smaller items.


## Information Coding / Computer Graphics, ISY, LiTH

## Suitable for GPU?

Again, not as bad as it seems at first look:

- Data independent
- Excellent locality - especially good for broadcasting (e.g. constant memory). Also suitable for shared memory.
- Again, $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ : Will grow at very large sizes

Two bad ones that are not quite as bad as they seem.
N parallel iterations may beat NlogN sequential ones!
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## Bitonic sort

(As described in Kessler 2.3)
Bitonic set: Two monotonic parts in different direction.
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## Bitonic sort

(According to Batcher:) Let a be a bitonic set with a maximum at k , consisting of two monotonic parts, one increasing, $\mathrm{a}^{-}$(from item 1 to $k$ ) and one decreasing, $a^{+}(k+1$ to $n$ )

Then two new sets can be constructed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{\prime} & =\min \left(a_{1}, a_{k+1}\right), \min \left(a_{2}, a_{k+2}\right) \ldots \\
a^{\prime \prime} & =\max \left(a_{1}, a_{k+1}\right), \max \left(a_{2}, a_{k+2}\right) \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

These two sets are also bitonic and $\max \left(a^{\prime}\right) \leq \min \left(\mathrm{a}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ !


## Bitonic sort by divide-andconquer

Bitonic sort works on a bitonic sequence: partially sorted

The parts must be sorted. Sort them by bitonic sort!

## Information Coding / Computer Graphics, ISY, LiTH

## Bitonic sort example



Bitonic sort of smaller parts

Bitonic sort of main part
Reverse parts
(bitonic merge)
Reverse parts
(bitonic merge)

## Bigger example

## The problem scales nicely, uniformly
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# Get those steps right 

> Step length

## Step direction

Comparison direction
Calculated from stage number and stage length
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# Code examples 

Sequential
Recursive example
Iterative example
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## Bitonic sort

- Data independent, no worst case
- Fast: O(n•log2n) (Why?)
- Good locality in some parts

> but

- Big leaps in addressing for some parts


## What about those big leaps?

Small leaps: Can be computed within one block. Shared memory friendly.

Big leaps (>number of threads/block): No synchronization possible between blocks!

But we must synchronize!
-> multiple kernel runs!
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## QuickSort

## Very popular algorithm for sequential implementation



Data driven, data dependent reorganization, non-uniform
Fancy name - nobody expect QuickSort to be nothing but optimal
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## QuickSort is

Fast: $O(n \cdot \log n)$ in typical cases
$O\left(n^{2}\right)$ in the worst case
Data driven, data dependent reorganization, non-uniform
Fancy name - nobody expects QuickSort to be nothing but optimal
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## QuickSort on GPU

Initially ignored as impractical
CUDA implementations exist
Data driven approaches increasingly suitable as GPUs become more flexible
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## Parallel QuickSort

Several stages to consider:

- Pivot selection. Usually just grab one.
- Comparisons
- Partitioning
- Concatenate result
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## Pivot selection

If we could always pick a pivot that splits the data in half...


## but you can't do that without sorting! (Or a histogram.) But how about a random one?



There is a worst case caused by bad pivots. Live with it!
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## Comparisons

## Easy to parallelize

One thread per comparison not unreasonable! (GPUs don't have a problem with many threads!)

No problem!

# Partitioning 

The big problem!

## Sequential partitioning: Bad!

Parallel partitioning 1: Atomic fetch \& increment. (GPUs have atomics!)

Parallel partitioning 2: Divide and conquer

## In-place sorting not feasible

Split to two list of same size as original. Massive number of threads!

Then we must pack to smaller size.


# Packing to smaller size not trivial 

## Data dependent

Use parallel prefix sum to create a look-up table for addressing. (Kessler 1.6.3)

Computes sum of all previous items.
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## Parallel prefix sum

## Similar to reduction but full output.



| $\# 1$ | $\# 1+2$ | $\# 3$ | $\# 1 . .4$ | $\# 5$ | $\# 5+6$ | $\# 7$ | $\# 1 . .8$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Parallel prefix sum

## Example
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## For sorting: Binary parallel prefix sum



## Parallel prefix sum on GPU

- No reason to use few threads. Use as many as you have output items.
- Multiple kernel runs to adapt to problem size variation.
- As described above, non-coalesced. Pack intermediate values for coalescing. If using shared memory, risk of bank conflicts. [Capannini]


## Thus, QuickSort is not impossible, but more complex than before.

## Note:

GPUs have Compare-And-Swap atomics!
GPUs favor massive numbers of threads. One thread per comparison is more than OK!

Implementations available. Example:
https://sourceforge.net/projects/cuda-quicksort/
See also Kessler Ch 2
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## Recursion

GPUs can't do recursion efficiently... or can they?
Since Kepler we have concurrent kernels
Not only a matter of launching kernels from CPU!

## A kernel can spawn new kernels!

Do recursion by spawning new kernels!

Information Coding / Computer Graphics, ISY, LiTH

## Concurrent kernels, Dynamic Parallelism

Less work for the CPU to manage the computation.

CPU
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## Recursion can look like this:

```
_global__ void quicksort(int *data, int left, int right)
{
    int nleft, nright;
    cudaStream_t s1, s2;
    // Partitions data based on pivot of first element.
    // Returns counts in nleft & nright
    partition(data+left, data+right, data[left], nleft, nright);
    // If a sub-array needs sorting, launch a new grid for it.
    // Note use of streams to get concurrency between sub-sorts
    if(left < nright) {
        cudaStreamCreateWithFlags(&s1, cudaStreamNonBlocking);
        quicksort<<<< ..., s1 >>>(data, left, nright);
    }
    if(nleft < right)
        cudaStreamCreateWithFlags(&s2, cudaStreamNonBlocking);
        quicksort<<< ..., s2 >>>(data, nleft, right);
    }
}
_host__ void launch_quicksort(int *data, int count)
    quicksort<<<< ... >>>(data, 0, count-1);
}
```

Source: http://blogs.nvidia.com/blog/2012/09/12/how-tesla-k20-
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## Advantages

- Less work for CPU
- Less synchronizing (from CPU side)
- Easier programming!

They claim it matters this much (but your milage will vary)
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## Recursive CUDA kernels, a significant improvement

Southfork and Signal\&Bild have GPUs that support it.
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That's all folks!

